



Paper Type: Original Article

Accounting for the Metaverse: Classification, Valuation, and Reporting of Virtual Assets in Decentralized Economies

Amir Ehsane¹, Ali Lalbar^{1,*}

¹Department of Accounting, Arak Branch, Islamic Azad University, Arak, Iran; amirehsane77@gmail.com; For-lalbar@yahoo.com.

Citation:

Received: 02 July 2025

Revised: 27 October 2025

Accepted: 24 November 2025

Ehsane, A., & Lalbar, A. (2025). Accounting for the metaverse: Classification, valuation, and reporting of virtual assets in decentralized economies. *Metaversalize*, 2(4), 248-255.

Abstract

As the metaverse emerges as a significant global investment environment, this study investigates the classification and valuation of virtual assets to provide insights into evolving accounting practices and the development of frameworks necessary to enhance investor confidence. By reviewing existing research, the paper analyzes this persistent, three-dimensional space where economic value is created through decentralized transactions. The analysis demonstrates that while the metaverse integrates financial and physical realities, traditional principles of accounting and quantitative measurement remain fundamental, provided that virtual assets can be measured reliably enough to fall within the scope of financial reporting. Despite current challenges in classifying these assets as intangibles, financial instruments, or inventory, the study concludes that integrating the metaverse into formal financial systems depends on the evolution of standardized practices, technological adaptation, and regulatory alignment.

Keywords: Metaverse, Virtual assets, Accounting, Financial.

1 | Introduction

The term metaverse refers to a virtual environment that extends beyond the physical world. Neal Stephenson first introduced it in his science fiction novel *Snow Crash*, where it was described as a vast digital universe in which users interact through avatars alongside the physical world [1–3]. Since its inception, the concept has

✉ Corresponding Author: For-lalbar@yahoo.com

doi <https://doi.org/10.22105/metaverse.v2i4.93>



Licensee System Analytics. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>).

evolved into a persistent, shared virtual space encompassing various forms, including immersive internet, mirror worlds, simulation environments, and collaborative platforms.

The metaverse is now understood as a hybrid environment integrating physical and digital realities, enabled by the convergence of internet technologies, Extended Reality (XR), and interactive platforms [4]. Users in these environments can create, distribute, and transfer digital content across platforms (e.g., virtual worlds and gaming ecosystems), often maintaining continuity of identity and experience. Technologies such as wearable devices, Augmented Reality (AR) headsets, and smart interfaces facilitate user interaction with both virtual and physical environments.

Beyond social and environmental interaction, the metaverse has rapidly emerged as a significant new investment environment, driven by the global growth of virtual investments [6]. This shift is predicated on the existence of economic exchange within virtual spaces, where transactions, ownership, and resource allocation occur much like they do in the physical world. Consequently, as users increasingly own, exchange, and monetize digital assets, the implementation of structured accounting systems becomes a necessity to safeguard scarce resources and ensure their efficient allocation.

A defining characteristic of this new economic landscape is the enforcement of digital scarcity, often achieved through technologies like blockchain and Non-Fungible Tokens (NFTs) [7]. These technologies facilitate the management and transfer of digital assets without centralized control, which is essential for the functionality of metaverse-based economic systems. In this context, digital assets are represented by tokens that signify ownership, allowing them to be traded and assigned measurable economic value. The underlying blockchain technology acts as a decentralized, distributed ledger that ensures data integrity and transparency through cryptographic mechanisms [7].

Despite the economic potential, significant challenges remain in classifying and valuing virtual assets. From an accounting perspective, there is ongoing debate over whether digital assets should be treated as intangible assets, financial instruments, or inventory [8]. Furthermore, fundamental principles dictate that if an asset cannot be measured reliably, whether in the physical or virtual world, it falls outside the scope of traditional financial reporting frameworks. Issues such as revenue recognition from digital asset sales and the treatment of development costs further complicate the establishment of clear valuation standards.

The emergence of the metaverse also necessitates a transformation like financial reporting, moving from static formats like PDFs to dynamic, real-time updates [9]. This transition is intended to address the timeliness of information, as delays in traditional reporting often reduce the usefulness of data for decision-making. Accounting professionals and auditors must adapt by incorporating blockchain, AI, and immersive technologies into their systems while managing the increased exposure to security and privacy risks. Interestingly, these virtual and AR technologies also offer new opportunities for remote inspections and more interactive financial disclosures [10].

Beyond technical accounting hurdles, the expansion of virtual economies raises complex legal and regulatory considerations. Creators and designers require protection for their digital works, yet enforcing Intellectual Property (IP) rights in virtual environments remains inherently challenging. Traditional legal frameworks are often found to be insufficient for governing the multifaceted activities within the metaverse. As such, robust regulatory systems and international standardization are increasingly critical to ensure economic stability in virtual markets [11].

In light of these technological and economic shifts, the ability to measure, classify, and report digital assets remains central to the formal integration of the metaverse into global financial systems. This study contributes to the literature by synthesizing existing research to examine the classification and valuation of assets within this domain, offering a theoretical bridge between traditional accounting standards and emerging virtual economic realities. By clarifying the conditions under which virtual resources meet the criteria for financial recognition, this paper provides a foundational framework for practitioners and regulators seeking to enhance investor confidence in decentralized environments. Ultimately, the future of accounting in the metaverse

depends on the development of standardized practices, technological adaptation, and the alignment of regulatory frameworks.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides a comprehensive overview of the metaverse ecosystem and its economic underpinnings. Section 3 discusses the specific challenges of asset classification and the applicability of current accounting standards to digital holdings. Section 4 explores valuation methodologies and the transition toward real-time financial reporting. Section 5 addresses the legal and regulatory considerations surrounding digital ownership, while Section 6 highlights the technical and economic limitations of current virtual environments. Finally, Section 7 concludes the paper with a summary of findings and recommendations for future research.

2 | Theoretical Foundations: Accounting, Classification, and Valuation of Assets in the Metaverse

A fundamental question arises: why do we need accounting and auditing in the metaverse? The answer lies in the existence of economic exchange. Just as in the physical world, transactions, ownership, and resource allocation occur in virtual environments. Users can own, exchange, and monetize digital assets, necessitating structured accounting systems to track value and ensure financial transparency [12].

Accounting, by its nature, exists to safeguard scarce resources and ensure efficient allocation. This principle extends to the metaverse, where digital scarcity is often enforced through technologies such as blockchain and NFTs. These technologies facilitate the management and transfer of digital assets without centralized control, which is essential for the functionality of metaverse-based economic systems. In this context, digital assets are represented by tokens that signify ownership, allowing them to be traded and assigned measurable economic value.

However, significant challenges remain in classifying these assets. From an accounting perspective, digital assets have been interpreted differently depending on their use case and the specific rights they grant the holder [13]. They may be categorized as:

- I. Intangible assets: under standards such as IAS 38, many digital assets are treated as non-monetary assets without physical substance.
- II. Financial instruments: if the asset represents a contractual right to receive cash or another financial asset.
- III. Inventory: in cases where a business holds digital assets for sale in the ordinary course of business, such as a developer selling virtual land or skins.

The valuation of these assets presents another layer of theoretical complexity. Unlike traditional assets with long-standing historical cost data, metaverse assets often experience extreme price volatility and rely heavily on active market pricing. If an asset cannot be measured reliably, whether in the physical or virtual world, it falls outside the scope of traditional accounting and financial reporting frameworks. Therefore, the core principles of quantitative measurement remain the gatekeeper for an asset's inclusion in a balance sheet.

Furthermore, the shift toward a virtual economy necessitates a re-evaluation of revenue recognition and development costs [14]. Determining the exact point at which a "transfer of control" occurs in a decentralized transaction can be difficult. Similarly, the costs associated with creating virtual environments and assets must be carefully analyzed to determine if they should be capitalized or expensed as incurred. These issues are further complicated by the diverse nature of digital rights and the varying terms of service across different platforms.

Despite these challenges, integrating virtual and AR technologies into the profession offers new opportunities to improve financial reporting. We are moving toward a period where financial disclosures could become interactive and immersive, allowing stakeholders to "visualize" data and asset portfolios within the metaverse itself. This transition toward real-time updates and dynamic reporting is intended to address the limitations

of traditional, static formats, ultimately enhancing the timeliness and relevance of financial information for global investors.

3 | Accounting and Auditing Challenges in the Metaverse

The emergence of the metaverse introduces several transformative challenges for accounting professionals, fundamentally altering how financial data is captured, processed, and verified. As economic activity shifts into decentralized and persistent virtual spaces, traditional methodologies are being tested by the speed and complexity of digital transactions [16].

One of the most significant shifts is the nature of financial reporting. We are witnessing a necessary transition from static, periodic reports, such as annual PDFs or paper statements, to dynamic, real-time formats. In a virtual economy where asset values can fluctuate significantly within seconds, the traditional "snapshot" approach to balance sheets becomes less relevant. Investors and stakeholders increasingly require continuous reporting to make informed decisions. This leads to the challenge of information timeliness; delays inherent in traditional reporting cycles reduce the decision-usefulness of data, potentially leading to information asymmetry in fast-moving virtual markets.

The decentralized nature of the metaverse further intensifies audit complexity. Verification of transactions in environments governed by smart contracts and distributed ledgers requires a departure from traditional sampling methods:

Technological integration: blockchain, AI, and immersive technologies must be deeply incorporated into accounting systems [15]. It is no longer sufficient to treat these as external tools; they must be the infrastructure upon which the audit trail is built.

Security and privacy risks: the move to the metaverse increases exposure to cyber threats, data breaches, and identity theft. Auditors must evaluate the robust nature of cryptographic keys and the security of the underlying protocols to ensure that "ownership" in the metaverse is legally and practically enforceable.

To remain effective, auditors must adapt their skill sets and methodologies. This adaptation begins with a deep understanding of the metaverse's technological infrastructure. During the audit planning phase, identifying risks now includes assessing the code of smart contracts and the governance models of Decentralized Autonomous Organizations (DAOs). Gathering sufficient and appropriate audit evidence in digital environments requires specialized software that can interface directly with various blockchains to verify the existence and valuation of assets without relying solely on third-party confirmations.

Notably, while the metaverse introduces hurdles, it also offers innovative solutions to age-old auditing problems. It can enhance auditing by enabling remote inspections through digital twins, virtual replicas of physical assets [12]. This allows auditors to conduct site visits in a 3D immersive environment, reducing the need for physical presence, lowering costs, and increasing oversight frequency. By leveraging AR, an auditor could overlay financial data onto a virtual representation of inventory or infrastructure, creating a more intuitive and comprehensive verification process.

Ultimately, the challenge for the profession lies in balancing the inherent risks of a decentralized frontier with the efficiency gains offered by these new technologies. The transition requires not only a change in tools but a fundamental shift in the accounting mindset toward a more fluid, technology-driven discipline.

4 | Metaverse and Blockchain

Blockchain technology serves as the foundational infrastructure that underpins many metaverse economies, providing the necessary layer of trust and security in a decentralized environment [16]. It is a decentralized, distributed ledger system designed to ensure data integrity and transparency through advanced cryptographic mechanisms. By removing the need for a central intermediary, blockchain allows the metaverse to function as a self-governing economic ecosystem where participants can transact with confidence.

Key characteristics that make blockchain indispensable to the metaverse include:

Peer-to-peer architecture: this allows for direct interaction between users, facilitating the seamless exchange of value without the oversight or fees associated with traditional financial institutions.

Distributed data storage: because the ledger is shared across a global network of nodes, the metaverse becomes a "persistent" environment. Even if one server goes offline, the history of ownership and transactions remains intact and accessible.

Secure transaction validation: through consensus mechanisms, every transaction is verified and timestamped, creating an immutable record that prevents fraud and double-spending of digital assets.

Facilitation of digital ownership: blockchain enables the creation and management of unique digital assets, such as cryptocurrencies for medium of exchange and NFTs to represent ownership of specific virtual items, land, or identities.

Blockchain enables the management and transfer of digital assets without centralized control, making it essential for metaverse-based economic systems. In this context, the blockchain acts as the "source of truth" for auditors and accountants. Rather than relying on a company's internal database, which could be manipulated, financial professionals can query the blockchain directly to verify the existence and provenance of an asset. This shift from "trust-based" accounting to "verification-based" accounting is one of the most profound impacts of blockchain on the profession.

Furthermore, the integration of smart contracts, self-executing code stored on the blockchain, automates many complex processes in the metaverse [17]. These contracts can automatically trigger payments, transfer ownership rights, or distribute royalties the moment specific conditions are met. From a theoretical foundation perspective, smart contracts reduce the risk of human error and provide a programmable framework for revenue recognition. However, they also require accountants to possess a new level of "code-literacy" to ensure the underlying logic aligns with financial standards.

As the metaverse continues to evolve, interoperability among different blockchain networks will become a critical focus. For a truly global virtual economy to exist, assets must be able to move fluidly between different platforms (e.g., from a gaming ecosystem to a virtual marketplace). This creates a challenge for valuation and classification, as the "utility" of a digital asset may change depending on the platform on which it is used. Consequently, blockchain is not merely a storage tool; it is the engine that drives the liquidity and economic viability of the entire metaverse framework.

5 | Legal and Regulatory Considerations

The metaverse raises important legal issues, particularly in areas such as IP and digital ownership. As the boundary between physical and digital property blurs, the legal system faces the daunting task of defining what it means to "own" something in a space that is governed by code rather than geography.

A primary concern is that designers and creators require protection for digital works. In the metaverse, assets such as virtual fashion, architectural designs, and unique 3D models can be easily replicated or pirated if adequate protections are not in place. While NFTs provide a technological solution for establishing provenance, they do not automatically grant legal copyright or trademark protection under current international laws. Consequently, creators often find themselves in a vulnerable position in which others monetize their intellectual labor without their consent.

Furthermore, enforcing rights in virtual environments remains challenging. Because many metaverse platforms operate across international borders and are built on decentralized protocols, determining jurisdiction is a complex legal puzzle [18]. If a user's digital asset is stolen or their IP rights are infringed in a virtual space, it is often unclear which country's laws apply or which court has the authority to intervene. This "borderless" nature of the metaverse creates a sanctuary for bad actors and complicates the recovery of digital property.

It is increasingly evident that traditional legal frameworks may be insufficient to govern metaverse activities. Current laws regarding consumer protection, contract enforcement, and liability were designed for physical interactions or Web 2.0 e-commerce. They often fail to account for:

- I. Smart contract finality: in many cases, the "code is law" philosophy of decentralized platforms contradicts legal principles like "equitable relief" or the right to rescind a contract in cases of fraud.
- II. Identity and representation: the use of pseudonymous avatars makes it difficult to hold individuals legally accountable for their actions or to verify the legal capacity of a transacting party.
- III. Platform governance: the shift toward DAOs challenges the traditional concept of corporate liability, as there may be no single legal entity to sue or regulate.

As virtual economies expand, the need for robust regulatory systems becomes increasingly critical. This necessitates a move toward international standardization and the development of "metaverse law" that can harmonize digital rights with physical-world legal obligations. Regulators must strike a delicate balance: providing enough oversight to prevent money laundering and fraud while ensuring that overly restrictive or outdated rules do not stifle innovation. Ultimately, for the metaverse to achieve its full economic potential, it must transition from a "digital wild west" into a regulated environment where rights are clearly defined and enforceable.

6 | Conclusion

The global economy is undergoing a rapid and profound transformation driven by continuous technological innovation. The emergence of the metaverse represents a significant shift toward virtual economic systems, creating unprecedented opportunities for investment, trade, and social interaction. By merging physical and digital realities into a persistent, three-dimensional environment, the metaverse has redefined value creation, moving it beyond the constraints of the physical world into a decentralized, tokenized landscape.

However, the analysis throughout this paper underscores that these developments necessitate a parallel evolution of accounting and auditing frameworks. While the medium of exchange has changed, the fundamental requirement for trust and transparency has not. The ability to measure, classify, and report digital assets accurately remains the central pillar for integrating the metaverse into formal financial systems. Without reliable measurement and clear classification, whether as intangible assets, financial instruments, or inventory, virtual assets will struggle to gain the widespread institutional legitimacy required for long-term economic stability.

Furthermore, the role of the accounting professional is shifting from a retrospective recorder of history to a real-time validator of digital integrity. The integration of blockchain as a "single source of truth," combined with the potential for immersive, AI-driven financial reporting, offers a path to more timely, relevant decision-making for global investors. Yet, these opportunities can only be fully realized if the profession successfully navigates the inherent risks of cybersecurity and data privacy, as well as the complexities of decentralized governance.

Ultimately, the future of accounting in the metaverse depends on three critical factors: the development of globally recognized standardized practices, the rapid technological adaptation of auditing firms, and a cohesive regulatory alignment that bridges the gap between digital code and international law. By establishing these foundations, the accounting discipline can ensure that the metaverse remains a transparent and credible environment for the creation and exchange of global wealth.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Data Availability

All data are included in the text.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Mystakidis, S. (2022). Metaverse. *Encyclopedia*, 2(1), 486–497. <https://doi.org/10.3390/encyclopedia2010031>
- [2] Sharifi, L., & Pourqasem, J. (2025). Cloud-based architecture for digital twins and augmented reality in the Metaverse platform. *International journal of research in industrial engineering*, 14(4), 808–822. <https://doi.org/10.22105/riej.2025.504969.1537>
- [3] Sá, M. J., & Serpa, S. (2023). Metaverse as a learning environment: Some considerations. *Sustainability*, 15(3), 2186. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15032186>
- [4] Uddin, M., Obaidat, M., Manickam, S., Laghari, S. U. A., Dandoush, A., Ullah, H., & Ullah, S. S. (2024). Exploring the convergence of Metaverse, Blockchain, and AI: A comprehensive survey of enabling technologies, applications, challenges, and future directions. *Wiley interdisciplinary reviews: data mining and knowledge discovery*, 14(6), e1556. <https://doi.org/10.1002/widm.1556>
- [5] Lanteri, A., Esposito, M., & Cappuccio, M. (2025). Maximizing the economic, environmental, and social impact of the metaverse. *Thunderbird international business review*, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tie.70027>
- [6] Mirzaye Shirkoohi, S., & Mohiuddin, M. (2025). Creating value in metaverse-driven global value chains: Blockchain integration and the evolution of international business. *Journal of theoretical and applied electronic commerce research*, 20(2), 126. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jtaer20020126>
- [7] Rahman, J., Rahman, H., Islam, N., Tanchangya, T., Ridwan, M., & Ali, M. (2025). Regulatory landscape of blockchain assets: Analyzing the drivers of NFT and cryptocurrency regulation. *BenchCouncil transactions on benchmarks, standards and evaluations*, 5(1), 100214. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tbench.2025.100214>
- [8] Dong, S., Abbas, K., Li, M., & Kamruzzaman, J. (2023). Blockchain technology and application: An overview. *PeerJ computer science*, 9, e1705. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj-cs.1705>
- [9] Tsiura, V., Panova, L., & Gramatsky, E. (2024). Virtual assets in the digitalization era: Economic and private legal aspects. *Baltic journal of economic studies*, 10(3), 366–374. <https://doi.org/10.30525/2256-0742/2024-10-3-366-374>
- [10] Bhattacharya, P., Saraswat, D., Savaliya, D., Sanghavi, S., Verma, A., Sakariya, V., ... & Manea, D. L. (2023). Towards future internet: The metaverse perspective for diverse industrial applications. *Mathematics*, 11(4), 941. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math11040941>
- [11] Oyewole, A. T., Adeoye, O. B., Addy, W. A., Okoye, C. C., Ofodile, O. C., & Ugochukwu, C. E. (2024). Augmented and virtual reality in financial services: A review of emerging applications. *World journal of advanced research and reviews*, 21(3), 551–567. <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.21.3.0623>
- [12] Al-Kfairy, M., Alrabaee, S., Alfandi, O., Mohamed, A. T., & Khaddaj, S. (2025). Navigating ethical dimensions in the metaverse: Challenges, frameworks, and solutions. *IEEE access*, 13, 79996–80018. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2025.3564498>
- [13] Al-gnbri, M. K. (2022). Accounting and auditing in the metaverse world from a virtual reality perspective: A future research. *Journal of metaverse*, 2(1), 29–41. <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/jmv/issue/67967/1110671>
- [14] Riabchenko, Y., Onyshchenko, A., Kudin, V., Kononets, O., & Holdskiy, V. (2025). Digital assets and property rights: Regulation and legal implications within the EU and globally. *Statute law review*, 46(3), hmaf029. <https://doi.org/10.1093/slr/hmaf029>
- [15] Casadella, V., & Tahi, S. (2023). National innovation systems in low-income and middle-income countries: Re-evaluation of indicators and lessons for a learning economy in Senegal. *Journal of the knowledge economy*, 14(3), 2107–2137. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-022-00945-8>

- [16] Pandey, D., & Gilmour, P. (2024). Accounting meets metaverse: navigating the intersection between the real and virtual worlds. *Journal of financial reporting and accounting*, 22(2), 211–226. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFRA-03-2023-0157>
- [17] Attanayake, T. P., & Goonaratne, G. C. (2025). Future of auditing: AI and emerging technologies for quality and value. *IUP journal of accounting research & audit practices*, 24(4), 260–281. <https://doi.org/10.71329/IUPJARAP/2025.24.4.260-281>
- [18] Ghosh, A., Hassija, V., Chamola, V., & El Saddik, A. (2024). A survey on decentralized metaverse using blockchain and web 3.0 technologies, applications, and more. *IEEE access*, 12, 146915–146948. <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?arnumber=10697124>
- [19] Shylaja, P., & Jayasudha, J. S. (2025). A comprehensive review of blockchain and smart contracts: Foundations, applications, and technical challenges. *Science*, 15, 100257. <https://doi.org/10.70389/PJS.100257>
- [20] Kalyvaki, M. (2023). Navigating the metaverse business and legal challenges: Intellectual property, privacy, and jurisdiction. *Journal of metaverse*, 3(1), 87–92. <https://doi.org/10.57019/jmv.1238344>